Are Medicaid MCO Price Negotiations With Providers Negating The Model's Savings?

5 Slide Series, Volume 30

December 2015

The Menges Group

A Key Goal of Medicaid Managed Care Programs Involves Delivering Savings to the Taxpayer

 Our recent work for the Association for Community Affiliated Plans estimated typical savings for mature Medicaid MCO programs at approximately 1% for TANF beneficiaries and 6% for Medicaid-only SSI beneficiaries.

https://

These savings are contingent on MCOs paying providers, on average, at underlying Medicaid FFS rates.

• To the extent providers with sufficient leverage are negotiating unit prices from Medicaid MCOs well above Medicaid FFS, the programwide savings created by the health plans' extensive (and successful) coordinated care efforts can easily be negated

MCO-Provider Price Negotiation Outcomes Are Not Well-Understood in Policy Arena

- Medicaid's unit prices are typically well below Medicare's and far below commercial insurance
 - The MCO model is not needed for purposes of negotiating favorable prices with providers – discount for volume arrangements are not achievable or desirable
- What is needed from the MCOs is to coordinate care which is where all available Medicaid medical cost reductions must occur
- Those involved in negotiation outcomes know that in many states and for many provider types — the prices being paid under a Medicaid MCO program are often well above Medicaid FFS

Excessive Provider Price Negotiation Outcomes Require Policymaking Intervention

- There are situations where paying a provider above Medicaid rates is a desired public policy outcome (to foster better access)
- In general, however, MCO-provider negotiation outcomes above Medicaid FFS prices are an adverse public policy outcome – often negating the overall savings the coordinated care program would otherwise achieve
 - Such negotiation outcomes are the antithesis of "paying for performance," unless negotiation performance is what we want to be rewarding
 - These outcomes essentially run a wire (and in many cases, more like a firehose) from the taxpayer's wallet to the provider's bank account -leveraging the Medicaid MCO's need to include certain providers in their network

Examples of What States Can Do

- Key need is for states to ascertain whether its MCO-provider price negotiation outcomes are creating excess costs for the Medicaid managed care program.
- Where this is occurring, states can/should regulate the negotiation outcomes
 - One example would be to prohibit any payment above Medicaid FFS at least prior to any operational performance bonuses – unless MCO provides a specific exception request to state (with rationale) and state approves it
- In many states, this type of action is needed to get the Medicaid managed care program back to where it is intended to be fiscally
 - A vehicle for taxpayer savings not additional taxpayer costs
- The price negotiation dynamics described herein are *not* a valid reason to eliminate a Medicaid MCO program.
 - We just need to fix this unit price problem where it exists and allow the capitated model to function optimally – yielding savings from the excellent care coordination that is occurring

5 Slide Series Overview

Our 5 Slide Series is a monthly publication whereby we briefly discuss/address a selected topic. This series provides us the opportunity to "see something and say something" outside the confines of our client engagements. We strive to create new information in each edition – through our own data tabulations and/or through conveying our ideas and opinions.

To be added to our email list to receive these as they are published, please email us at jmenges@themengesgroup.com or call 571-312-2360.

Address: 4001 9th Street N., Suite 227, Arlington VA 22203

Website: www.themengesgroup.com