

# Medicaid and Medicare Combined Spending By State

Five Slide Series, Volume 14  
July 2014

**The Menges Group**

[www.themengesgroup.com](http://www.themengesgroup.com)

571-312-2360

# Summary of Tabulations and Findings

- Nationwide, 2009 combined spending on Medicaid and Medicare represented:
  - Approximately \$800 billion in overall outlays, excluding most disproportionate share (DSH) and upper payment limit (UPL) supplemental payments
    - These claims-based expenditures were divided 42% for persons with Medicare coverage (but not Medicaid), 29% for persons with Medicaid coverage (and not Medicare), and 29% for Medicaid/Medicare dual eligibles
  - 5.6% of Gross Domestic Product (GDP)
  - \$2,582 per resident
  - \$5,740 per working person above age 16, excluding active duty military personnel
- Medicaid & Medicare combined costs varied widely by state ranging from:
  - a high of 9.7% of GDP in West Virginia to a low of 3.1% of GDP in Wyoming
  - a high of \$4,647 per resident in the District of Columbia to \$1,501 per resident in Utah
  - a high of \$9,020 per employed resident in the District of Columbia to \$3,263 per employed person in Utah

# 2009 Medicaid & Medicare Combined Spending by State, Alabama - Kansas

STATE	2009 Medicare Spending	2009 Medicaid Spending	Medicaid + Medicare Spending, 2009	Medicaid Spending as % of Medicare Spending	Rank, Medicaid Spending as % of Medicare Spending	Medicaid + Medicare Spending Per Resident	Rank, Medicaid + Medicare Spending Per Resident	Medicaid + Medicare Spending Per Employed Person	Rank, Medicaid + Medicare Spending Per Employed Person	Medicaid + Medicare Spending as Percent of GDP	Rank, Medicaid + Medicare Spending as Percent of GDP
Alabama	\$8,042,000,000	\$3,625,502,688	\$11,667,502,688	45%	49	\$2,441	28	\$5,977	20	6.9%	14
Alaska	\$553,000,000	\$1,067,104,934	\$1,620,104,934	193%	2	\$2,281	34	\$4,895	32	3.2%	49
Arizona	\$8,451,000,000	\$8,617,296,794	\$17,068,296,794	102%	7	\$2,670	20	\$5,989	19	7.0%	12
Arkansas	\$4,657,000,000	\$3,579,323,741	\$8,236,323,741	77%	16	\$2,825	15	\$6,707	8	7.8%	4
California	\$50,604,000,000	\$35,223,988,027	\$85,827,988,027	70%	24	\$2,304	33	\$5,372	27	4.5%	39
Colorado	\$5,254,000,000	\$3,288,286,409	\$8,542,286,409	63%	34	\$1,699	49	\$3,442	50	3.4%	48
Connecticut	\$6,187,000,000	\$5,289,135,076	\$11,476,135,076	85%	10	\$3,211	5	\$6,676	9	5.0%	30
Delaware	\$1,512,000,000	\$1,264,188,551	\$2,776,188,551	84%	12	\$3,092	7	\$7,082	5	4.9%	32
District of Columbia	\$856,000,000	\$1,940,311,635	\$2,796,311,635	227%	1	\$4,647	1	\$9,020	1	2.7%	51
Florida	\$39,119,000,000	\$14,053,739,488	\$53,172,739,488	36%	51	\$2,828	14	\$6,578	12	7.4%	9
Georgia	\$11,743,000,000	\$7,376,395,061	\$19,119,395,061	63%	33	\$1,974	47	\$4,511	38	4.7%	37
Hawaii	\$1,533,000,000	\$1,201,415,347	\$2,734,415,347	78%	14	\$2,010	44	\$4,723	34	4.2%	43
Idaho	\$1,749,000,000	\$1,351,162,249	\$3,100,162,249	77%	15	\$1,978	46	\$4,486	39	5.7%	23
Illinois	\$19,176,000,000	\$11,774,115,862	\$30,950,115,862	61%	36	\$2,412	30	\$5,184	30	4.9%	35
Indiana	\$9,696,000,000	\$5,389,555,915	\$15,085,555,915	56%	46	\$2,327	32	\$5,353	28	5.7%	22
Iowa	\$4,329,000,000	\$2,877,205,249	\$7,206,205,249	66%	29	\$2,366	31	\$4,587	36	5.3%	28
Kansas	\$4,009,000,000	\$2,315,887,435	\$6,324,887,435	58%	40	\$2,217	37	\$4,567	37	5.2%	29
<b>United States</b>	<b>\$471,260,000,000</b>	<b>\$326,024,595,905</b>	<b>\$797,284,595,905</b>	<b>69%</b>		<b>\$2,582</b>		<b>\$5,740</b>		<b>5.6%</b>	

# 2009 Medicaid & Medicare Combined Spending by State, Kentucky – North Carolina

STATE	2009 Medicare Spending	2009 Medicaid Spending	Medicaid + Medicare Spending, 2009	Medicaid Spending as % of Medicare Spending	Rank, Medicaid Spending as % of Medicare Spending	Medicaid + Medicare Spending Per Resident	Rank, Medicaid + Medicare Spending Per Resident	Medicaid + Medicare Spending Per Employed Person	Rank, Medicaid + Medicare Spending Per Employed Person	Medicaid + Medicare Spending as Percent of GDP	Rank, Medicaid + Medicare Spending as Percent of GDP
Kentucky	\$7,162,000,000	\$5,017,405,611	\$12,179,405,611	70%	23	\$2,807	17	\$6,605	11	7.8%	3
Louisiana	\$7,854,000,000	\$5,429,727,130	\$13,283,727,130	69%	25	\$2,930	10	\$6,890	6	6.3%	19
Maine	\$2,285,000,000	\$1,480,889,902	\$3,765,889,902	65%	31	\$2,835	12	\$5,949	21	7.5%	7
Maryland	\$8,748,000,000	\$6,324,889,459	\$15,072,889,459	72%	20	\$2,611	23	\$5,347	29	5.0%	31
Massachusetts	\$11,721,000,000	\$10,113,119,574	\$21,834,119,574	86%	9	\$3,335	3	\$6,836	7	5.7%	24
Michigan	\$17,638,000,000	\$10,170,613,978	\$27,808,613,978	58%	41	\$2,814	16	\$6,571	14	7.6%	5
Minnesota	\$6,856,000,000	\$7,029,496,504	\$13,885,496,504	103%	6	\$2,618	21	\$5,103	31	5.4%	27
Mississippi	\$5,205,000,000	\$3,197,866,028	\$8,402,866,028	61%	35	\$2,832	13	\$7,275	4	9.1%	2
Missouri	\$9,581,000,000	\$5,771,062,410	\$15,352,062,410	60%	38	\$2,563	25	\$5,593	26	6.1%	20
Montana	\$1,247,000,000	\$714,265,274	\$1,961,265,274	57%	42	\$1,982	45	\$4,339	43	5.5%	26
Nebraska	\$2,519,000,000	\$1,589,577,302	\$4,108,577,302	63%	32	\$2,250	35	\$4,423	40	4.7%	38
Nevada	\$3,324,000,000	\$1,196,285,377	\$4,520,285,377	36%	50	\$1,674	50	\$3,997	49	3.8%	46
New Hampshire	\$1,905,000,000	\$994,863,529	\$2,899,863,529	52%	48	\$2,203	38	\$4,131	46	4.8%	36
New Jersey	\$15,526,000,000	\$8,293,072,391	\$23,819,072,391	53%	47	\$2,709	19	\$5,810	23	4.9%	34
New Mexico	\$2,467,000,000	\$2,912,818,269	\$5,379,818,269	118%	4	\$2,613	22	\$6,382	15	6.6%	17
New York	\$34,081,000,000	\$44,882,625,489	\$78,963,625,489	132%	3	\$4,075	2	\$8,941	2	6.9%	15
North Carolina	\$14,105,000,000	\$9,664,700,395	\$23,769,700,395	69%	26	\$2,493	27	\$5,806	24	5.8%	21
<b>United States</b>	<b>\$471,260,000,000</b>	<b>\$326,024,595,905</b>	<b>\$797,284,595,905</b>	<b>69%</b>		<b>\$2,582</b>		<b>\$5,740</b>		<b>5.6%</b>	

# 2009 Medicaid & Medicare Combined Spending by State, North Dakota - Wyoming

STATE	2009 Medicare Spending	2009 Medicaid Spending	Medicaid + Medicare Spending, 2009	Medicaid Spending as % of Medicare Spending	Rank, Medicaid Spending as % of Medicare Spending	Medicaid + Medicare Spending Per Resident	Rank, Medicaid + Medicare Spending Per Resident	Medicaid + Medicare Spending Per Employed Person	Rank, Medicaid + Medicare Spending Per Employed Person	Medicaid + Medicare Spending as Percent of GDP	Rank, Medicaid + Medicare Spending as Percent of GDP
North Dakota	\$859,000,000	\$587,510,830	\$1,446,510,830	68%	27	\$2,151	39	\$4,041	47	4.5%	40
Ohio	\$19,263,000,000	\$13,971,825,590	\$33,234,825,590	73%	19	\$2,881	11	\$6,315	16	7.0%	13
Oklahoma	\$5,918,000,000	\$3,573,964,386	\$9,491,964,386	60%	37	\$2,530	26	\$5,856	22	6.5%	18
Oregon	\$4,967,000,000	\$2,797,118,847	\$7,764,118,847	56%	44	\$2,027	43	\$4,362	41	4.3%	42
Pennsylvania	\$23,771,000,000	\$14,206,861,168	\$37,977,861,168	60%	39	\$2,990	9	\$6,577	13	6.6%	16
Rhode Island	\$1,824,000,000	\$1,555,518,543	\$3,379,518,543	85%	11	\$3,211	6	\$6,653	10	7.1%	11
South Carolina	\$7,211,000,000	\$4,711,572,834	\$11,922,572,834	65%	30	\$2,578	24	\$6,278	18	7.4%	8
South Dakota	\$1,096,000,000	\$732,227,771	\$1,828,227,771	67%	28	\$2,245	36	\$4,353	42	4.9%	33
Tennessee	\$10,337,000,000	\$7,261,642,567	\$17,598,642,567	70%	22	\$2,773	18	\$6,312	17	7.1%	10
Texas	\$33,288,000,000	\$18,542,735,982	\$51,830,735,982	56%	45	\$2,061	41	\$4,649	35	4.4%	41
Utah	\$2,280,000,000	\$1,867,629,012	\$4,147,629,012	82%	13	\$1,501	51	\$3,263	51	3.6%	47
Vermont	\$941,000,000	\$969,806,518	\$1,910,806,518	103%	5	\$3,054	8	\$5,637	25	7.6%	6
Virginia	\$9,736,000,000	\$5,547,961,438	\$15,283,961,438	57%	43	\$1,910	48	\$4,022	48	3.8%	45
Washington	\$7,971,000,000	\$5,733,928,802	\$13,704,928,802	72%	21	\$2,038	42	\$4,310	44	3.9%	44
West Virginia	\$3,521,000,000	\$2,588,751,602	\$6,109,751,602	74%	17	\$3,297	4	\$8,439	3	9.7%	1
Wisconsin	\$7,943,000,000	\$5,805,836,985	\$13,748,836,985	73%	18	\$2,418	29	\$4,888	33	5.6%	25
Wyoming	\$639,000,000	\$551,809,947	\$1,190,809,947	86%	8	\$2,113	40	\$4,283	45	3.1%	50
<b>United States</b>	<b>\$471,260,000,000</b>	<b>\$326,024,595,905</b>	<b>\$797,284,595,905</b>	<b>69%</b>		<b>\$2,582</b>		<b>\$5,740</b>		<b>5.6%</b>	

## Relationship of Medicare Spending to Medicaid Spending Varies Considerably From State to State

- Nationwide Medicare spending in 2011 (\$558 billion) was above Medicaid (\$366 billion)
- Medicaid expenditures exceeded Medicare expenditures during 2009 in the District of Columbia and in six states (Alaska, New York, New Mexico, Vermont, Minnesota, and Arizona)
- Medicaid spending as a percentage of Medicare spending was lowest in the following five states: Florida (36%), Nevada (36%), Alabama (45%), New Hampshire (52%) and New Jersey (53%)
- National spending for dual eligibles (Medicaid and Medicare claims-based costs) roughly equaled national Medicaid claims-based spending for all non-duals

# Contact Information and Data Sources

## Data Sources Underlying Tabulations

2009 Medicaid costs by state: CMS MSIS data

2009 Medicare costs by state: Kaiser Family Foundation

2010 population by state: Census Bureau

2009 employed persons by state: Bureau of Labor Statistics

2009 Gross Domestic Product by state: Department of Commerce, Bureau of Economic Analysis

## Our Contact Information

Phone: 571-312-2360

Website: [www.themengessgroup.com](http://www.themengessgroup.com)

Email: [jmenges@themengessgroup.com](mailto:jmenges@themengessgroup.com)

Address: 4011 9<sup>th</sup> Street N., Suite 227  
Arlington, VA 22203

Note: National Health Expenditures tables published by CMS indicate Medicare costs of \$500 billion during 2009 and Medicaid costs of \$374 billion -- a combined total of \$874 billion. These figures are roughly 10% above those used in our slides. The key cause of the differences is that the data sources we used do not typically include disproportionate share and supplemental upper payment limit (UPL) payments which occur outside of Medicaid and Medicare claims payment systems.